G. R. S. 58]

Previous references.

711-11

1914-15

(Muzrai)

File No. 73-14

Serial Nos. 1-6

Later references.

1 1-14

3 11

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 1 to 6

Part B for March 1915

SUBJECT.

Review petn from Subbaraya praying for the re-consideration of the Govt order relating to the archakship of the Srikanteswaraswami Temple at Nanjang.

Archakship of Sri Srikanteswaraswami Temple.

Sanctions the arrangement agreed to by the parties *re* the—at Nanjangud.
2080 Dated 27. 3. 1915.

GPB—8,500—21-7-14

C Krishnamachariar

VII

1914.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(Murzai)

NOTES.

File No 75

FILE HEADING.

Review-petition from Subraya praying for a re-consideration of the Govt order relating to the Archakship of Sri Sukanteswara Swami Temple at Na

From

Subraya Through his father Agamika Kumara Swami

~~No.~~ Petition Dated 19.7.1914 Received 22.7.1914.

Diary No. 143	Encls -	Spl Copies -	Serial No 1

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

Prays that Go. no 2686 Dy 2d April 1914 may be re-considered and the Archaks post in dispute may be conferred upon him,

NOTES AND ORDERS.

Murz. D. no. 711-11 is herewith submitted. The facts of the case are given in detail in Govt order of the 2d April 14 which is sought to be reviewed.

Subraya through his father and guardian

NOTES—First Sheet.

GPB—35 000—15 (12

G. R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 73 of 1914.

Serial No.

Aganik kumaraswami Dikshit prays for a re-consideration of the above order. The grounds for appeal are given in English and are briefly summarized below:—

(Paper under discussion)

(1) The transfer of Archakship by the deceased Parvatamma widow of Gangadhar Dikshit, in favor of Nanjunda Dikshit not being absolute but only conditional upon protecting an otherwise helpless woman, the discharge of the duties of the office after Parvatamma's death is not adverse to the daughter and daughter's sons of Gangadhara Dikshit.

2) Even granting that the possession was adverse to the daughter of Gangadhara Dikshit, it was not adverse to the petitioner who does not claim it through her mother but independently of her, as the heir of Gangadhara Dikshit,

(put up)

3) Under Prov. of the Law of limitation, the petr. has still time to assume his claim (ground no. 3 in the review-application)

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—10,000—13-6-14

General and Revenue Secretariat. | File No. 73 of 1914

Serial No.

(4) His opponent being a female is unfit to perform the duties of the office.

Submitted for orders the records with MM (15-7) if approved be called for.

31.8.1916
2.9
5/9/14

Subd 5 1st Member

There seems the same form in ground no 2 now taken. This aspect of the question did not strike me at the time and at the time of the hearing the ~~Appellant~~ Respondent did not appear nor was he represented.

If Ganpathrao Dikshit is the considered as full owner from whom descent has to be traced, his widow Ravalikamma died in 1898, his daughter Lakshmikamma being then a minor. Before Lakshmikamma attained majority the Respondent was born of her and he is not a minor. As against the latter prescription cannot be established.

If Lingabhatla should be taken as the propositus, his daughter's daughter Lakshmidevamma will come in as a Bandhu and so also the latter's son. The.

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPR—10,000—13-6-14

(5

R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | File No. 73 of 1914

Serial No. 3

From, Agamik Kumaraswami Desikachi.
Petition Dy 25.10.1914.

Prays that pending orders on his review-petition, the arrangement in force may be continued.

The Dy. Commr decided the case in favor of the petrs. Sm, but on an appeal from the petrs. opponent Subbamma the Dy. Commrs. order was reversed and the post was ordered to be registered in the name of Subbamma (memo. no 2606 Dy 2d April 1914). From what the petr says, it does not seem that the order has been carried into effect. He prays for the continuance of his Sm in the appointment pending disposal of his review.

The 1st member has ordered on the previous page that the case should be posted for hearing on receipt of records.

Submd No further action seems ~~needed~~ must be ~~needed~~ called for till the 4.11.14 receipt of the records called for disposal of the case one way or the other. The records may be received from the Dy Coll-

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—10,000—15-9-11

S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | File No. 73 of 1914.

Serial No.

4 Petition (no. 816) addressed to 1st member by Agamik Kumara Swami Dikshit on the subject of a Stay order.

1st member's order:— "How does the matter stand?"

The vernacular records have not been received from the Dy. Commr. On receipt of records, the review-petition will be posted for hearing, as directed by the 1st member on page 4 of the office-note.

Submtd to Sr.

MJ 1157 19.11.14

215

Submtd to Hble member

The review application is posted for admission. If it must be admitted a Stay order may then be issued. The records have not yet been received.

I agree. Send a reminder—

21/11/14

4a Ref. to 20.11.14 from Kumara Swami Dikshit

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—30,000—15 9 14

S. 12]

7

General and Revenue Secretariat. | File No. 73 of 1914.

Serial No. 5.

From, the Dy. Commr, Mysore Dist
Letter no 231 Dy 23-11-14

Re- submits the entire Vernecular records relating to the Archakship of Sri Srikanteswara Swami Temple.

The review-application will be posted for hearing. If directed, the notice will be issued to the agents of Linga Bhatta also.

Submitted
MMS
25-11-14

It is to be posted for admission. After fixing the date send notice to the petitioner

AV

Submitted with Dft. Notice
MMS
4-1-15. [illegible]

[illegible]
6/1

Submitted with Dft notice
MMS
30-1-1915. [illegible]

appd. [illegible]

NOTE—Contn. sheet

GPB—95 000—2 11 14

R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 73 of 1914

Serial No. 5(a)

Petition dt 10th December 1914 from Subbamma of Nanjangud.

Subbamma complains that the Asamik stands in the way of her nominating a successor and prays that as she has no other means of living, the archakship in dispute may be continued to her during her life time as originally ordered by Govt. She also states that the Asamik has presented a review petition which is posted for hearing and requests that she may also be heard by Govt along with her opponent, as she has some representations to make. She

Submitted for orders. Review petition is posted for hearing on the 2nd inst.

MMSV
3-2-1915

No orders on the petn are called for now. Subbamma will of course be heard if the Review is admitted

[illegible]

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB -25,000 2-11-11

S. 12]

9

General and Revenue Secretariat. | File No. 73 of 1914.

Serial No.

9 ~~Jan~~ Feb 1915

Mr C Narasimhaya for Petr

The reasons urged by the Petr is that though ~~barred as~~ the Mother's claim is barred, the Son's claim will not be, and that the latter will have a right to succeed after the mother's death.

The question is worth reconsidering. Issue notice to the opponent.

Hm
10 9/2/15

~~Read~~.
Submitted with 8th notice & Rec 4th March 15
MM 8/1
16.2.1915

Issue
Rec
16/2

8/1
16/2

Petr by Mr. Narasya

Opponent by Mr Shamu -

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—25 000 2 11-11

(10)

S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 73 of 1914.

Serial No.

The Parties both agree to a Compromise as follows

[illegible]

Mark of Subbamma written by T Shamayya advocate for Subbamma

[illegible]

NOTE—Contn. sheet.
4-3-15

GPB- 25,000-2 11-11

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 73 of 1914

Serial No.

ಶಿರಸ್ತೇದಾರ್

/ Mark of Subbamma written by T. Shanmugam, advocate for Subbamma

ಸಬ್ ರಿಜಿಸ್ಟ್ರಾರ್ ಆಫೀಸು ಶಿರಸಿ ತಾ॥ ಸಿದ್ದಾಪುರ ಜಿ॥ ಶಿವಮೊಗ್ಗ ಇವರ ಮುಂದೆ ಹಾಜರಾಗಿದ್ದರು.

The parties have agreed & thus & signed the 8th before me.

An order will be issued accdly.

4/3/15

Board

Submd. with a Dft. order for M. Member for approval.

M. USV 4.3.1915

8/3/15

As the terms of the compromise do not find themselves to be incorporated boldly in the order, I have

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—25,000-2 11-11

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 73 of 1914

Serial No.	
	the draft as in contentions as possible. The parties can take out copies after completion for such future use as may be called for
	Sd.
	Draft appd by First member
	23/3/15
	Sd
	23/3
6	To, The Sy Court of Mysore — No: 2080 dt 27.3.15 — Vouchers the arrangements mutually agreed upon by the parties of the case & request the Sy Court to issue necessy instructions to the Amildar of the Nanjangud Taluk re payment of the monthly allowance to Subbamma. No: 2081-2 do the parties of the case through their advocates
B	

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—25,000—2 11 15

DIARY No. 143

1914-1915

WESTERN Jamma

FILE No. 73-1914

SERIAL NO.

TWO RUPEES

I

N.V.

Before the Govt. of H. H.
The Maharaja of Mysore.

Revision petition No. of 914-15.

petitioner:- Subraya minor by n &
Somarawamy Dikshit,
Agamik, S.i.o Ramaswara
Swamy Temple Vidwan Mysore.

Opponent:- Subbamma widow of
Narsinda Dikshit, Mysore

The petitioner most humbly and respectfully prays that the order passed in appeal Case (No. not mentioned vide Copy produced) of ~~1914-15~~ 1914-15 on Maharaja-ship of S.i Srikanteswara Swamy Temple Vidwan may be kindly revised for the following among other reasons:

(1) The transfer of the office of the said Archaka-ship by the late Ramma, widow of Thimmadhara Dikshit

in favour of Narjunda Dikshit not being absolute but only conditional upon protecting an otherwise helpless woman, the discharge of the duties of the paid office even after the death of that lady is not adverse to the daughters and daughter's sons of Gangadhara Dikshit as the arrangement came to between Narjunda Dikshit late husband of the opponent and the then holder of Gangadhara Dikshit's estate (parvalamma) was of the nature of a lease.

(2) Even granting that the possession of Narjunda Dikshit was adverse to the daughters of G. Dikshit it was not adverse to the petitioner who does not claim through her mother, the daughter of G. Dikshit but independently of her as the heir of Gangadhara Dikshit.

(3) The petitioner's right will not be barred though the right of the females who

intervened between the petitioner and the late Q. Dikshit might have become barred but on the other hand it may be said that the petitioner has been claiming it a little bit too soon. Art. 181 Limitation Act or Regulation.

IV The order sought to be denies ~~denied~~ by the petitioner even though right about which there can be no doubt whatsoever and the petitioner apprehends that if the order remains as it is it may prejudice his [illegible]

V The petitioner being the undoubted heir of the late Q. Dikshit is the rightful successor office. He is in every way competent to look after the duties of the said office. In the circumstances the opponents title is open to serious doubts and he is also otherwise unfit to look after the duties.

Under these circumstances the petitioner is entitled to

be appointed to the office upon terms as the Govt considered just in the matter of safe guarding ~~life~~ the life interest of the opponent which she has at present in case the 1st ground of this petition is decided against the petitioner.

For these and other reasons which the petitioner may submit in the course of his argument the petitioner most [illegible] prays that the office of the paid Archaka Ship may be conferred upon the petitioner.

G. Narasimhayya
Advocate for Petr

19-7-14
Mysore.

S.17

Before the Government of
His Highness The Maharaja of Mysore

Appeal No. of 1914

Appellant
Subbamma wife
of Nanjunda
Dikshit.

Versus Respondent
Kumaraswami Dikshit,
Guardian for his
minor son Subraya

Subject
Archakship of Sri Srikanteswara
Swami Temple at Nanjangud.

Facts of The Case.

One Lingabhat was the registered
Archak of Sri Srikanteswara Swami
Temple at Nanjangud. On his death
without male issue about 1849 the
office was registered in the name
of Gangadhar Dikshit, husband of

his 18 daughter
died in January 1883 leaving a
daughter Lakshmidevamma who
married Kumaraswami Dikshit,
the respondent. Gangadhar Dikshit
married again one Parvatamma.
He died on 11th August 1897. The
widow Parvatamma petitioned the
Amildar on 14th August 1897 to
transfer the office to the name of
Nanjunda Dikshit the brother of
Gangadhar Dikshit. The respondent
Kumaraswami Dikshit was present
during enquiry on this petition
but appears to have raised no
objection. On the recommendation
of the Dy Comm^r, it was ordered
in Rukkari no 805 dated 25th November
1897. that the Archak Inaward
be transferred to the name of Rv.
Nanjunda Dikshit. The latter performed

until February 1911 when he died leaving a widow by name Subbamma who is the present appellant. Subbamma prayed for the registry of the office in her name. A counter claim was advanced by Kumara Swami Dikshit on behalf of his minor sons Subraya and Vanjunda, the dohitras of late Gangadhar Dikshit, the Dy. Commissioner ordered that the vacant office should be registered in the name of Subraya the elder of the boys. Against this order, Subbamma has appealed to Government the case was finally heard by Government on the 12th August 1913, the appellant being present in person and also represented by her Advocate.



Order

The subjoined genealogical table exhibits the relationship of the contending

(1) Lingabhat (Registered no 1)

(2) Daughter Subbamma married Gangadhar Dikshit (Registered no 2) — (3) Brother Nanjunda Dikshit (Registered no 3)

Lakshmidevamma married Kumaraswami Dikshit — wife Subbamma, claimant.

Subraya — Nanjunda.

When Lingabhat died, the office was bestowed on his son-in-law Gangadhar Dikshit, who was not a legal heir to him, and as the latter and his brother were in adverse possession from 1879 to 1911, the claims of all Lingabhat's agnates are barred

On Gangadhar Dikshit's death, his brother Nanjunda Dikshit got the office with the consent of his brother's widow Parvatamma the widow's consent would only affect her life interest, but as she died in 1898 Nanjunda Dikshit's possession became adverse to the daughter of

RM.

Dikshit who had the right to succeed after her Step-mother. Neither She nor her sons coming after her, can now claim it.

The post should, therefore, be registered in the name of Subbamma, widow of Narijunda Dikshit, and a guardian (approved by Govt.) appointed on her behalf.

Memo no. 2606-Muz. 711-11-10, dated Bangalore, 2nd April 1914

Copy of the above is forwarded to the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District, returning the entire Vernacular records bearing on the subject.

By order,

Sd/ A. R. Srinivasiengar,
Secretary to Government,
General and Revenue
Departments.

P.T.O.

10

Copy applied for by Kumarasamy D.
on 21.4.1914.

Copy ready on 20.6.1914

Copy delivered on 4.7.1914

No of words 610

Copying fees	0-14-0
Comparing fees	0-2-4
Paper cost	0-0-9
Total	1-1-1.



"Copy"

Kumarasamy

Registrar,

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Copied Venkatachari

Compd
PR

ORPES ಪು-೨

23
4

Before the Govt of H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore

ಕೋರ್ಟಿಗೆ

1914–15 ನೇ ಇಸವಿ. Mervisem Pekhi ನಂಬರು.

ವಾದಿ.		ಪ್ರತಿವಾದಿ.
Subraya Nuvar by N. T. Komaraswamy Dikshit	VS.	Subbamma.

ಮಜಕೂರಾ ವಾದಿ.... Advocate C. Narasimmaiya... ರವರಿಗೆ.
..... Pekhimeri Respondent. ... ...ಬರೆಸಿಕೊಟ್ಟ ವಕಾಲತ್‌ನಾಮ.

ಮೇಲ್ಕಂಡ ನಂಬರ್ ಮೊಕದ್ದಮೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ತಾವು ನನ್ನ ಪರವಾಗಿ ಕೋರ್ಟಿಗೆ ಹಾಜರಾಗಿ ವಿವಾದಿಸಿ ಫೈಸಲ್ ಮಾಡಿಸಿಕೊಡುವದಕ್ಕೆ ಒಪ್ಪಿ, ಈ ಮೊಕದ್ದಮೆಗ ಸಂಬಂಧಪಟ್ಟ ವಿಶಿಷ್ಟ ನಡವಳಿಕೆಗಳ ವಿಷಯದಲ್ಲಿ ಜವಾಬ್ದಿ ವ್ಯವಹರ ಕೊಡುವದಕ್ಕೂ, ಕೋರ್ಟಿನಿಂದ ನನಿಗ ಸಲ್ಲತಕ್ಕ ಡಿಕ್ರಿಮೊಬಲಗು ಖರ್ಚುಮೊಬಲಗು ರೀಫಂಡು ಮೊಬಲಗು ಸಹ ಪಡೆದುಕೊಂಡು ರಸೀತಿ ಕೊಡುವದಕ್ಕೂ, ಕೋರ್ಟಿನಿಂದ ನನಿಗಾಗಿ ವಾಪಸುಬಿಗುವ ದಾವಾ ಸ್ಟ್ಯಾಂಪು, ಪ್ರೊಸೆಸ್ ಸ್ಟ್ಯಾಂಪು ಸಹ ಪಡೆದುಕೊಳ್ಳುವದಕ್ಕೂ ಮತ್ತು ನನ್ನ ಬಾಬತು ವಿಶಿಷ್ಟ ದಸ್ತೈವಜು ವಗ್ಗರೆಗಳನ್ನ ಕೋರ್ಟಿನಿಂದ ವಾಪಸು ತೆಗದುಕೊಳ್ಳುವದಕ್ಕೂ, ಸಹ ಈ ಮೂಲಕತನುಗಂಧಿಕಾರ ಕೊಟ್ಟು ಇರುತ್ತೇನ. ಈ ವಿಷಯದಲ್ಲಿ ತಾವು ನಡಿಸುವ ಕೆಲಸಗಳನ್ನೆಲ್ಲಾ ನಾನು ಒಪ್ಪಿದ್ದು ಇದ್ದು ನಡಿಸಿ ಇರುವಂತ ಒಪ್ಪಲು ಇದರಿಂದ ಒಡ್ಡನಾಗಿ ಇಧೇನೆ.

ತಾರೀಖು } ರುಜು. [illegible]
Grandson of Junior Pekhimeri

ಈ ವಕಾಲತ್‌ನಾಮ ಕೊಟ್ಟವರು ನನಿಗೆ ಪರಿಚಯಸ್ತರಾಗಿ ನನ್ನ ಸಮಕ್ಷಮ ಅದಕ್ಕೆ ರುಜು ಮಾಡಿರುವರೆಂದು ಸಾನು ಸರ್ಟಿಫೈಮಾಡಿಧೇನೆ. } ಈ ವಕಾಲತ್‌ನಾಮ ಅಂಗೀಕರಿಸಿ ಇಧೇನ.

M. Narasimhaya
Advocate

M. Narasimhaya

19-7-14.

ನಂಜನಗೂಡು, ಹೆಚ್. ವಿ. ಬ್ರದರ್ಸ್., ಜನರಲ್ ಮರ್ಚಂಟ್ಸ್ ಅವರಲ್ಲಿ-ದೊರಯುತ್ತೆ.

SARADA VILASA PRESS, BANGALORE CITY

R.S. 26J

Diary No. of letter replied to 143

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

, the *19* .

Date of issue 31-10-1914

Signed by Asst. to Secretary

Re the entire Vernacular records relating to the Archakship of Sri Srikanteswara Swami Temple at Nanjangud

File No. 13

Serial No. 1916

No. 844

To

The Dy. Commr,
Mysore Distt

Has the honor to request the re-submission of the entire Vernacular records relating to the Archakaship of Sri Srikanteswara Swamy Temple at Nanjangud, which were returned with this Office Memo. No 2606 Miscl. 2-711-11-10 dy 2nd April 1914, as they are required

over.

SUBJECT.

In Connection with an ~~Petition~~ application from Agamik Kumara Swami Brkshit on behalf of his minor son Sivaraja praying for cancellation of the notice of sale on the mortgage

By order

for

Secretary

Ent

Recorded

18/9/13

List of enclosures,

Copied by

Examined by

G1 B—20,000—3 9 10

19/4/1915
SECTION Murgai
FILE No. 73-14
SERIAL No.

26

14

Leeca.
have been
from the case-
14
10/10/14

ಶ್ರೀ ಗುರುಭ್ಯೋ

ನಮ ಪಾಲಿಗೆ ಇರುವಿ

ನಲ್ಲೆಗೆ ಅಳಿಯಂಗೆ ಸಾಲಿಯಾಗಿ ಬಂದ

ನಮ್ಮಿಂದ ಕೊಳ್ಳಲಿಲ್ಲ ಮಾರಲಿಲ್ಲ ಬಿಡಿಸಿಕೊಳ್ಳಲಿಲ್ಲ

ಕಾಲವಳಿದ ಮೇಲೆ ಅಳಿಯಂಗೆ ಸಾಲಿಯಾಗಿ ಬಂದ ಕಾರಣ

ಅಂತೆಯೇ ಅಳಿಯಂಗೆ ಕೊಟ್ಟ ಮಾತಿನಂತೆ ಕೊಡಲಾಗಿ

ಇಲ್ಲಿಂದ ಹೋಗಬೇಕಾಗಿ ಅಳಿಯಂಗೆ ಇರುವಿಯಾಗಿ

ಬೇರೆಯವರು ಕೊಳ್ಳಲಿಕ್ಕೆ ಬಂದಾಗ ಕೊಡಲಾಗಿ

25.10.914

ಅಳಿಯಂಗೆ ಸಾಲಿಯಾಗಿ ಬಂದ

ಗುಮ್ಮಾಲಾಪುರದ ಕೃಷ್ಣಸ್ವಾಮಿಯ

25—10—914

RV No....816

28 16

[illegible]

29 [illegible]

[illegible]

25-10-911

[illegible]

843 30

14 15 8
mur

ధర్మ సాగర

ಜಿಲ್ಲಾ ಪಂಚಾಯತ್

ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ: ಜಿ.ಪಂ.ಕಾ.ಸಂ.ಇ.ಸಿ.ಆರ್.ಸಿ.ಎಸ್.ಎಸ್.ಎಸ್

ಕೆ ಪ್ರಸಾದ ಹೆಗ್ಡೆಯವರು ಸಾಹಿತ್ಯ ಕೃಷಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ತೊಡಗಿಸಿಕೊಂಡಿದ್ದರು. ಅವರು ಕಾದಂಬರಿ, ಕಥೆ, ಪ್ರಬಂಧ, ಅನುವಾದ, ಮಕ್ಕಳ ಸಾಹಿತ್ಯ ಮುಂತಾದ ಪ್ರಕಾರಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಕೃತಿಗಳನ್ನು ರಚಿಸಿದ್ದಾರೆ. ಅವರ ಕೃತಿಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಸಾಮಾಜಿಕ ಕಳಕಳಿ, ಮಾನವೀಯ ಮೌಲ್ಯಗಳು ಮತ್ತು ಪರಿಸರ ಪ್ರಜ್ಞೆಯನ್ನು ಕಾಣಬಹುದು. ಅವರ ಕೃತಿಗಳು ಓದುಗರಿಗೆ ಚಿಂತನೆಗೆ ಹಚ್ಚುವಂತಿವೆ.

ಅವರ ಕೃತಿಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಪ್ರಮುಖವಾದವು ಹೀಗಿವೆ: ಕಾದಂಬರಿಗಳು: ಮಣ್ಣಿನ ಮಕ್ಕಳು, ಬೆಳಕಿನ ದಾರಿ, ಕಥಾ ಸಂಕಲನಗಳು: ಹಸಿರು ಹೊನ್ನು, ಮಳೆಯ ಹನಿಗಳು, ಪ್ರಬಂಧಗಳು: ನಮ್ಮ ಪರಿಸರ, ನಮ್ಮ ಜವಾಬ್ದಾರಿ, ಅನುವಾದ: ಇಂಗ್ಲಿಷ್‌ನಿಂದ ಕನ್ನಡಕ್ಕೆ ಕೆಲವು ಲೇಖನಗಳನ್ನು ಅನುವಾದಿಸಿದ್ದಾರೆ. ಮಕ್ಕಳ ಸಾಹಿತ್ಯ: ಮಕ್ಕಳಿಗಾಗಿ ಕೆಲವು ಕಥೆಗಳು ಮತ್ತು ಪದ್ಯಗಳನ್ನು ರಚಿಸಿದ್ದಾರೆ.

ಅವರ ಸಾಹಿತ್ಯ ಕೃತಿಗಳಿಗೆ ಹಲವಾರು ಪ್ರಶಸ್ತಿ ಪುರಸ್ಕಾರಗಳು ಲಭಿಸಿವೆ. ಅವರ ಕೃತಿಗಳು ಕನ್ನಡ ಸಾಹಿತ್ಯ ಲೋಕದಲ್ಲಿ ಮಹತ್ವದ ಸ್ಥಾನವನ್ನು ಪಡೆದಿವೆ. ಅವರ ಸಾಹಿತ್ಯ ಕೃಷಿಯು ಮುಂದಿನ ಪೀಳಿಗೆಗೆ ಸ್ಫೂರ್ತಿದಾಯಕವಾಗಿದೆ.

ನಂ 15-10-1914

ಸಾಕ್ಷಿ 2

ಸಾಕ್ಷಿದಾರರ ಹೆಸರು ಮತ್ತು ವಿಳಾಸ

ಸಾಕ್ಷಿದಾರರ ಹೇಳಿಕೆಯನ್ನು ಅವರ ಮಾತೃಭಾಷೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಅಥವಾ ಅವರಿಗೆ ಚೆನ್ನಾಗಿ ತಿಳಿದಿರುವ ಭಾಷೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ದಾಖಲಿಸಬೇಕು.

ಪ್ರತಿಯೊಂದು ಹೇಳಿಕೆಯನ್ನು ಪ್ರತ್ಯೇಕವಾಗಿ ಮತ್ತು ಸಾಕ್ಷಿದಾರರ ಸಮ್ಮುಖದಲ್ಲಿ ದಾಖಲಿಸಬೇಕು. ಒಬ್ಬ ಸಾಕ್ಷಿದಾರರ ಹೇಳಿಕೆಯನ್ನು ಇನ್ನೊಬ್ಬ ಸಾಕ್ಷಿದಾರರ ಸಮ್ಮುಖದಲ್ಲಿ ದಾಖಲಿಸಬಾರದು. ಸಾಕ್ಷಿದಾರರ ಹೇಳಿಕೆಯನ್ನು ಪ್ರಶ್ನೋತ್ತರ ರೂಪದಲ್ಲಿ ದಾಖಲಿಸಬೇಕು. ಸಾಕ್ಷಿದಾರರ ಹೇಳಿಕೆಯನ್ನು ಸಾಕ್ಷಿದಾರರಿಗೆ ಓದಿ ಹೇಳಿ ಅವರಿಂದ ಸಹಿ ಪಡೆಯ

41

42

10

10 ನೇ ತರಗತಿ ವಿದ್ಯಾರ್ಥಿಗಳಿಗೆ ಸಂಸ್ಕೃತ ಪರೀಕ್ಷೆ

ಈ ಪರೀಕ್ಷೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಉತ್ತೀರ್ಣರಾಗಲು ಶೇ. 35 ಅಂಕಗಳನ್ನು ಪಡೆಯಬೇಕು.

ಪರೀಕ್ಷೆಯ ದಿನಾಂಕ ಶೀಘ್ರದಲ್ಲಿ ತಿಳಿಸಲಾಗುವುದು.

ಪರೀಕ್ಷೆಯ ಶುಲ್ಕ ರೂ. 15-00 ಆಗಿದೆ.

ಆಸಕ್ತ ವಿದ್ಯಾರ್ಥಿಗಳು ಅಭ್ಯರ್ಥಿಸಬಹುದು.

ದಿನಾಂಕ
20-10-13

ಮುಖ್ಯೋಪಾಧ್ಯಾಯರು

ಸಂಸ್ಕೃತ ಶಿಕ್ಷಕರಿಗೆ ಸೂಚನೆ

ಮೇಲಿನ ಪರೀಕ್ಷೆಯ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಎಲ್ಲಾ ವಿದ್ಯಾರ್ಥಿಗಳಿಗೆ ತಿಳಿಸಿ ಅವರಿಂದ ಅರ್ಜಿ ಪಡೆದು ಪರೀಕ್ಷೆಗೆ ಸಿದ್ಧಪಡಿಸಿ ಕಳುಹಿಸಿಕೊಡಿ.

ಮುಖ್ಯೋಪಾಧ್ಯಾಯರು

43

37

Proceedings of the Government of his Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

Read the following

Government Proceedings No 2418 dated 2nd June 1903 sanctioning the transfer of the Varadaraja of agarihi in the Sri Bhramaramba jeshwari temple at Chamarajanagar to the name of Rajaguru Kodandarama Dikshitulu Garu subject to the conditions being fulfilled by the said Kodandarama Dikshitulu Garu to attend to the agamic duties in the temple.

[illegible]

petition No. 5575 of 1951 [illegible]
from the suit aganist on the subject

order thereon No. 7 & dated 31st July 1951

[illegible] Bahadur [illegible]
which of the [illegible]
Maharaja, Bahadur [illegible]
Sahib [illegible], seems to have been
highly respected in older times, and a
golden seal given to his ancestors by His
Highness the Maharaja Krishnaraja
Wadiar Bahadur III is in his possession
Although his responsibility as to the
safety of Treasure jewels along with other
in offices is acknowledged, he and
his ancestors do not aim at to have given
any security. This [illegible] of the
Government [illegible] [illegible]
their [illegible] [illegible] directing the petitioner to furnish security

2 under the circumstances above brought
to notice, the Government are pleased to
direct, in modification of the order
already passed, that no security

from the agarikk Komarabva

any dikshit. His responsibility further

to temples remained valid

intact as here to fore.

3 The old custom of the agarikk seal being also pleased upon the Bokkasa of the Chamarajanagar temple along with those of other officers, which seems to have been interrupted latterly, should be insisted upon in future, and he should be present either in person or by the private officiating for him, whereafter the Bokkasa is to be closed and sealed.

4 The golden seal need not be taken back from him. He should arrange to leave it with his private secretary

Remarks Executive Assistant to Chief Secretary

(Sd) E. Sreenivasaiah

Under Secretary

46

~~34~~

To.

The Deputy Commissioner, Mysore
District returning the Revenue
Records.

"Copy."

B. Narayanaswami Ayyangar.

Registrar
General Revenue Settlement &c.

Copy applied for by Ramakrishnaiah on
— " — on 20.3.1914
— Ready — on 14.4.1914
— Delivered on 21.4.1914

14 sheets 35-c
Stamp fee —
Certificate 2-1-4
Postage —
Total 2-9-10

DIARY 850

14 15

Mulgrai
73-14
5

GPB—100,000—29 7-14

1916

From The Dy Commissioner
Mysore District

To The Secretary
to Govt
Revenue Dept &
Mulgrai Branch

Dated 23.11.16

Despatched

Received

General No. 231
No.

Enclosure No. one file

Resubmits the entire remarks records relating to the Archakship of Sri Srikanteswara Swamis temple at Nanjangud.

No 65 Dated 21st November 1914
23

No 231
Encl

14 15

From

Mysore.

A Subrahmanya Iyer, Esqr. BA
Dy Commissioner
Mysore District

To

D. M. Narasinga Rao, Esqr. BA. BL
Offg: Secretary to the Govt of —
H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore —
— General and Revenue Department
Musrat branch

Sir,

Adverting to your docket No 564 dated 31 10-1914 calling for the retransmission of the entire vernacular records relating to the Archak ship of Sri Srikanteswara Swamy temple at Nanjangud, I have the honor to submit herewith the said records received in the Nanjangud Sub-division Officer's memo No 120/421 dated 17 11 1914 as called for.

I have the honor to be
Sir,
Your most obedient servant

Dy Commissioner
Mysore District

Kg

DIARY NO. 1177
19 19/5
ION
NO. 73-14.

48
34

S. 28.]

Passing orders on the review application of Subbaya Thro. Ganesh Kumara Swami Dikshit claiming the Archakship of Nanjangud Temple

File No. 73

Serial No 6 1914

Diary No. of letter replied to 850

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

( )

, *the* 191 .

Date of issue 27-3-1915

Signed by W. Ms. Ramachandra Rao

READ—

Govt order no. 2606 Mus. 711-11-10 dy 2d April 1914 directing that the Archakship of Sri Srikanteswara Swami

~~ORDER THEREON.—No.~~

Temple at Nanjangud be registered in the name of Subbamma widow of Nanjunda Dikshit.

Application dated—

over

SUBJECT.

GPB—50,000—1-12-12

July 1914 from Subraya through his father and guardian Agnik Kumara-Swami Dikshit praying for a review of the above order and urging his claim for the post as the grand-son of Sangashara Dikshit.

Letter no. 231 dy 23d Novr 1914 from the Dy Commr, Mysore Dist, submitting the records connected with the case.

Order thereon - Dismiss 2080/13-14-6 Case file dy 27-3-1915

The case was heard by Court on 6th March 1915: appeared for
Mr C. Narasimhaiyya ~~represented~~ the petr and Mr K. Shamiengarfor the Counter-petr. The parties were also present in person.

At the time of hearing the parties agreed to a Compromise under which

G. R S. 27]

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(Continuation sheet draft form.)

53 4

the Countess-petr Subba was to receive during her life time Rs 7 per mensem out of the pay of the office through Govt Agency and that the petr Subraya ~~Hegde~~ was to perform the Archak duties enjoying the balance of the pay and other perquisites of the office.

The ~~above~~ arrangement above described is sanctioned and the Dy. Commr is requested to issue necessary directions to the Amildar of the Manjanad Taluk about the payment of the monthly allowance to Subbamma.

Print

23/3/15 1st Member's order

GPB—12,000—15-2-13.

No 2081-2 Dy 27.3.1915

for Secretary.

19.3.15

To, the Dy. Commr, Mysore

Dist. returning the enclosures

Copies to petr & or petr's Advocate

54

Archakship of Sri Srikanteswara-swami Temple.

No. 2080—Muz. 73-14-6, dated 27th March 1915.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE.

GENERAL AND REVENUE DEPARTMENTS.

Read—

Government Order No 2606— Muz 711 11-10, dated 2nd April 1914, directing that the Archakship of Sri Srikanteswaraswami temple at Nanjangud be registered in the name of Subbamma, widow of Nanjunda Dikshit

2 Application, dated 19th July 1914, from Subraya through his father and guardian Agamik Kumaraswami Dikshit, praying for a review of the above order and urging his claim for the post as the grandson of Gangadhara Dikshit

3. Letter No 231, dated 23rd November 1914, from the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District, submitting the records connected with the case

Order No. 2080—Muz. 73-14-6, dated 27th March 1915.

The case was heard by Government on 4th March 1915. Mr. C. Narasimhaiya appeared for the petitioner and Mr. K. Shamiengar for the counter-petitioner. The parties were also present in person.

2. At the time of hearing the parties agreed to a compromise under which the counter-petitioner Subbamma was to receive during her life-time Rs. 7 per month out of the pay of the office through Government Agency and that the petitioner Subraya was to perform the Archak duties enjoying the balance of the pay and other perquisites of the office.

3. The arrangement above described is sanctioned and the Deputy Commissioner is requested to issue necessary directions to the Amildar of the Nanjangud Taluk about the payment of the monthly allowance to Subbamma.

for Offg. Secy. to Govt., Gen. & Rev. Depts.

To—The Deputy Commissioner Mysore District, returning the enclosures.

Fxd.—K N

Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

GENERAL AND REVENUE DEPARTMENTS

G. O. No. 2080—Muz. 73-14-6, dated 27th March 1915

Archakship of Sri Srikanteswaraswami Temple.

Sanctions the arrangement agreed to by the parties *re* the—at Nanjangud.

GPB—9-4-15—250